UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,337	09/15/2005	Markus Meyer	F-8653	2928
	7590 06/23/200 • HAMBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
122 EAST 42ND STREET			DEES, NIKKI H	
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/534,337	MEYER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nikki H. Dees	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 M</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9 is/are withdrawn for the specific ation is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2005 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2005 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to be subjected to by the Examine 10 ☐ The drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oregin are subjected to be	r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10 May 2005, 19 September 2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-5 in the reply filed on May 21, 2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims are so closely related that they should be included in the same application and that the filing of a divisional application would require searching the same subject matter twice. This is not found persuasive because, as detailed in the restriction requirement, the inventions possess a common technical feature of producing a colored foodstuff by extrusion, which is shown in the prior art (FR 2572898). As such, the inventions of the process and device do not relate to a single general inventive concept. The examiner further maintains the restriction requirement as the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and the inventions require a different field of search (class 426 for the method and class 99 for the device).
- 2. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 1794

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

5. Claim 1 claims animal fodder, human food, and "similar things" produced by the

claimed method. It is unclear what applicant intends to encompass by claiming "similar

things." For purposes of examination, the claim will be interpreted as to a process for

producing extruded food products for human and animal consumption.

6. Claims 1 and 5 claim flows that are brought together "only shortly" before

expansion. It is unclear for what length of time or distance the flows may be combined

to be considered "shortly" before extrusion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Art Unit: 1794

8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chapet (CA 1230005).

9. Chapet teaches a method for producing colored food products by means of extrusion wherein a starting material is subdivided into different product flows. The separate product flows have colors and other materials such as flavorings added to them, and then the product flows are brought back together to provide a food product having distinct colored and/or flavored regions. At the outlet of the die (Fig. 1 item 6), the change in pressure brings about an expansion of the material (p. 6 lines 2-25, Figs. 1, 7). Each colorant is mixed with a separate mixing screw as shown in Fig. 1. Figures 1 and 2 show the flows A and B brought together before the foodstuff is extruded

Conclusion

through the die and expanded. These teachings anticipate Applicant's claims 1-5.

The prior art used in the rejection is an English language family member of the X reference (FR 2572898) on the International Search Report.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikki H. Dees whose telephone number is (571) 270-3435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00 EST (first Friday off).

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nikki H. Dees Examiner Art Unit 1794

/Carol Chaney/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794